

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILIN      | IG DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/904,794                     | 07/12/2001 |            | Robert J. Germick    | 5468 1785           |                 |
| 75                             | 90         | 02/28/2005 |                      | EXAM                | INER            |
| John A. O'Too<br>P.O. Box 1113 | le, Esq.   |            | WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L  |                     |                 |
| Minneapolis, MN 55440          |            |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| •                              |            |            |                      | 1761                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/904,794   | GERMICK ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| navious notion  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Steven L. Weinstein  | 1761   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this appli<br>1) a timely filed amendment wh  | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>   | nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of  | of the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the distance of the corresponding set in | e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to   | pecause:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth  | ner consideration and/or search  | (see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

issues for appeal; and/or

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

application in condition for allowance because:

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The further description of the supply tube, the duct and how it functions raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search; e.g. the specifics about the inner and outer surfaces of the supply tube and the phrasing of "not presenting a ledge in the fill tube...". Also, why should the latter language not be considered New Matter?.

Steven Weinstein STEVE WEINSTEIN